

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,057	05/06/2005	Michael Schmitt	270407US0PCT	2727	
22850 7590 0925/2008 0BLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			POWERS, FIONA		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1626			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) SCHMITT ET AL. 10/534,057 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Fiona T. Powers 1626 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 7-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7,10,11 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8.9 and 12-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,057 Art Unit: 1626

Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed May 6, 2005 and the information disclosure statements filed August 24, 2005 and October 19, 2007, which have been entered in the file.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10, 11 and 17 to 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taniguichi (JP 61-296069), cited by applicants and Smith (US 2022606), cited by applicants.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Taniguichi discloses a process for the preparation of liquid formulation of salts of sulfonated azo dyes wherein vesuvin (C.I. Basic Brown 1) is reacted with a diazotized aminonaphthalene sulfonic acid to form at least two dyes (Working Example 1). Taniguichi also discloses a process where an isolated dye in the acid form of the structure

Art Unit: 1626

that can be obtained by reacting vesuvin with a naphthalene disulfonic acid, is dissolved in an aqueous base to yield a concentrated dye solution (Working Example 2).

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The process of Taniguichi differs from that claimed in that it does not disclose the preparation of vesuvin from m-phenylenediamine.

Smith discloses a process for the preparation of vesuvin

Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

from m-phenylenediamine. Note Example 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare vesuvin as disclosed by Smith, and to react it with diazotized aminonaphthalene sulfonic acid, then isolate the dye obtained in the acid form by precipitation with acid, then dissolve the acid form of the dye in an aqueous base as disclosed by Taniguichi to obtain a concentrated dye solution. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so with the expectation that a liquid formulation of salts of sulfonated azo dyes would be obtained.

Claims 8, 9 and 12 to 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten

in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The references made of record and not relied upon show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fiona T. Powers/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626 Fiona T. Powers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626

ftp March 15, 2008